

HEAD OF DELEGATION (HoD) REPORT

U.S. Member Body of the International Organization for Standardization (ISO)



U.S. National Committee of the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC)

Please return this report within one month of the completion of the international meeting and submit it to the appropriate ANSI Department as follows:

<u>USNC</u>

ISOT@ansi.org USNC@ansi.org

HoD reports can be used for a variety of purposes. For example:

- ❖ To report results of a TC/SC meeting to the related TAG
- **❖** To publicize the work of the TC/SC to the related US constituency via ANSI On-line, USNC News and Notes, or other media
- **❖** To suggest areas for possible development of featured articles
- **❖** To address specific challenges and concerns that the HoD may bring to the attention of related ANSI and/or USNC/IEC management

PLEASE REMEMBER: Your HoD report is NOT filed as a confidential, password protected document and, therefore, may have broad, unintended distribution. Keep this in mind when preparing the report and, if appropriate, use a more secure form of correspondence to call attention to any sensitive issues.

Completed by:

Head of Delegation: (Please print)	Steven E. Swanson
Telephone/Telefax:	828-901-5328
Email:	swansonse@corning.com
Date:	October 28, 2016

Meeting of IEC SC86B, Fibre optic interconnecting devices and passive components
(Designation/Title)
Date(s) October 11, 2016
Location Frankfurt, Germany

1.	MEETING ATTENDANCE						
	Please indicate, if available, both the number of delegates and the countries represented at the Meeting:						
•	25 delegates, 4 observers from 13 countries and 1 Technical Officer attended the meeting						
	 12 of 26 P-members were represented: Canada(1), China(1, 2-observer), Finland(1), Germany(2), Italy(1), Japan (7, 1-observer), Korea(2), Mexico (1), Netherlands (1), Sweden (1), United Kingdom (1), USA (6) 						
	- 1 of 16 O-members was represented: Malaysia						
	 Thailand's membership in SC86B changed to O-member 						
	Meeting attendance roster and meeting resolutions attached, if available						
	Please comment on significant or unusual attendance issues (e.g., new member bodies, regular members not in attendance, new Chairman or Secretariat, non-accredited U.S. persons, etc.).						
•	Yoshiteru Abe (JP) appointed Assistant Secretary to IEC SC86B						
•	Rosemary McGlashon (UK) will retire and informed SC86B that she will not be able to keep the WG6 Convenor position. Sharon Lutz (USA) was approved by SC86B as the new WG6 Convenor.						
	MEETING OBSERVATIONS						
2.	MEETING OBSERVATIONS Overall, how well did the U.S. meet its objectives on policy or technical matters?						
2.							
3.	Overall, how well did the U.S. meet its objectives on policy or technical matters? _X_ Very Successful U.S. positions were accepted in whole Successful Compromises were reached which are acceptable to the U.S.						
	Overall, how well did the U.S. meet its objectives on policy or technical matters? _X_ Very Successful U.S. positions were accepted in whole Successful Compromises were reached which are acceptable to the U.S Not Successful U.S. positions were not accepted Please comment on any issues of significance which might have an impact upon						
	Overall, how well did the U.S. meet its objectives on policy or technical matters? _X_ Very Successful U.S. positions were accepted in whole Successful Compromises were reached which are acceptable to the U.S Not Successful U.S. positions were not accepted Please comment on any issues of significance which might have an impact upon materially affected or interested U.S. parties.						
3.	Overall, how well did the U.S. meet its objectives on policy or technical matters? _X_ Very Successful U.S. positions were accepted in whole Successful Compromises were reached which are acceptable to the U.S Not Successful U.S. positions were not accepted Please comment on any issues of significance which might have an impact upon materially affected or interested U.S. parties. No significant issues noted at this meeting. Was there any discussion for which the United States was unprepared? (e.g., late						

5.	Did the U.S. extend an offer to assume any new TC/SC Secretariat or management positions?
	X Yes No
	X Yes No (If yes, please indicate which position and provide Officer contact information.)
•	Sharon Lutz (USA) was approved by SC86B as the new SC86B WG6 Convenor. Sharon Lutz US CONEC Ltd 1138 25th Street SE Hickory, NC 28602 USA
	<u>Tel:(828)</u> 267-6331 Fax: (828) 322-7120
	E-Mail: sharonlutz@usconec.com
6.	Did the U.S. extend an offer to host any future TC/SC meetings?
	X Yes No If yes, please identify:
•	The US extended an invitation to host the April 2017 Interim WG Meetings in Kona, HI
7.	Were any new issues raised which require, or might involve, coordination with other U.S. bodies? (Include coordination items with other U.S. TAGs, ANSI policy-level committees (AIF, AIC, the USNC TMC and/or Council, etc.)
	YesX_ No If yes, please identify:
8.	Did the U.S. put forth/agree to put forth any New Work Items?
	X Yes No
•	mode physically contacting fibres – non angled cylindrical full zirconia ferrules, centred fibre core eccentricity
9.	Was there any evidence of irregular voting by participating countries?
	YesX_ No If yes, please identify any significant issues or concerns:

10.	Are work items in the TC or SC being affected by related work in regional						
	standards bodies (e.g., CEN, CENELEC, ETSI, PASC, NAFTA, COPANT, etc.)?						
•							
11.	Were any new issues raised which require, or might involve, coordination with emerging market countries?						
	Yes _X_ No If yes, please explain:						
12.	Were any issues raised which relate to or impact existing U.S. regulatory matters?						
	YesX_ No If yes, please explain:						
13.	Please identify any IMMEDIATE U.S. TAG actions which will be required as a result of this international meeting.						
	None noted.						

14.	Please identify specific decisions which the U.S. delegation believes to be noteworthy for publication, publicity and/or development of a future article. If there are any, would you be willing to help develop an article for publication?								
	_	Yes		_	No				
15.	standa furthe	ards that i	done to furt reflect U.S. i e acceptance licable, regio	interests? e of relate	?" (Conside ed Americ	er such is	sues as ho	w might tl	he U.S.
	•		option of IE improveme			on of CE	NELEC a	nd IEC	
16.	Has th	is report l	oeen provid	ed to you	ır TAG Ad	ministrat	or for US	TAG dist	ribution?
	X	Yes		_	No				
17. Do you have any questions, comments, or suggestions regarding the ISO/IEC Directives, including the IEC Supplement?									
•		-	ased with th submission				the next	edition of	the Directives
18.	Other	Commen	ts						